UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/785,665	02/23/2004	Timothy A. Ringeisen	KN P 0142	2680
	7590 12/12/2007 H CORPORATION		EXAMINER	
735 PENNSYL	VANIA DRIVE		AZPURU, CARLOS A	
EXTON, PA 19341			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1615	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/12/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/785,665	RINGEISEN ET AL.				
Office Action Culturary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Carlos A. Azpuru	1615				
Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period way reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09/27	<u>7/2007</u> .					
,	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-68 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 12-68 is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	n from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the liderawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 04022004812222005.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate				

10/785,665 Art Unit: 1615

DETAILED ACTION

Receipt is acknowledged of the information disclosure statements filed 04/02/2004 and 12/22/2005.

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of Group I, species 1, claims 1-11, in the reply filed on 09/27/2007 is acknowledged.

Applicant's comments concerning rejoinder have been noted. However, none of the other generic claims is generic to this embodiment.

Claims 12-68 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 09/27/2007.

Claim Objections

Claims 2-5 and 7-8 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim.

Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form.

10/785,665 Art Unit: 1615

Claims 3-5 fail to further limit claim 1 because claim 1 has already set out that the bioactive is part of the implantable gel. Whether it is in the solvating fluid or dried gel is not important unless applicant were claiming a method. As such, the composition itself is not further limited.

The same applies to claims 7-8. These claims should depend form claim 6, however, they do not set any new limitations out since as explained about claim 6 already sets out a further comprising step which adds filler to the implantable gel.

Double Patenting

A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claim 5 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate of claim 3. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being clearly anticipated by Delmotte (US Patent No. 6,599,515).

Delmotte disclose mixing the dry gel material with fluid (see Abstract; col 15, lines 25-32). Filler material is added in the form of calcium containing bone-like material at col. 5, line 60-64. Bioactives are added at col. 5, lines 11-27. While fibrin is the preferred gel material, other natural polymers are listed at col. lines 32-39. Synthetic polymers are included at col. 10 line 40 in the form of copolymers, and in col. 11, lines 33-35 as "recombinant material". It is noted that applicant claims a composition but includes method steps such as solvating and addition of pressure. These are

Application/Control Number:

10/785,665 Art Unit: 1615

conisdered intended steps and are do not lend patentable weight. Further, if applicant is claiming a product by process, the claims should be reworded as such. Even so, the end product would have to be distinguished by comparative data from the end product as set out by Delmotte. The instant claims are anticipated by Delmotte.

Claims 1-5 and 9, 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by WO 98/35653 (WO'653).

WO'563 sets out a collagen gel produced by the addition of water to collagen (see (Abstract). Bioactives are listed at page 2, lines 31-35. As above the method steps do not differentiate form the gel as disclosed by WO'563. The instant claims are anticipated.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Delmotte (US Patent No. 7,135, 027).

Application/Control Number:

10/785,665 Art Unit: 1615

Delmotte disclose mixing fibrin (a natural polymer)with water under pressure of a syringe at col. 3, lines 11-12. Filler is added at col. 3, lin 62. Bioactives are also included at col. 6, lines 60-67. Synthetic polymers are incorporated by reference at col. 6, line 28 through US Patent No, 6,066,325 (see col. 7, lines 19-24). Those of ordinary skil would have therefore expected similar therpeutic characteristics form the instantly claimed gel given the gel as taught by Delmotte. There are no unusual and/or unexpected results which would rebut primar facie obviousness. As such, the instantly claimed gel composition would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of invention given the teachings of Delmotte.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carlos A. Azpuru whose telephone number is (571) 272-0588. The examiner can normally be reached on Tu-Fri, 6:30 am - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Woodward can be reached on (571) 272-8373. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number:

10/785,665 Art Unit: 1615

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Carlos A. Azpuru

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1615

caz